1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI 3 4 KATHLEEN MULLEN 5 Plaintiff. 6 VS. 7 MACY'S, INC., AND DEPARTMENT 8 STORES NATIONAL BANK, a

Case No.:

#### COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR **JURY TRIAL**

1. TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227

(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)

Demand Exceeds \$10,000

Defendants.

corporation,

11

9

10

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

### **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES**

#### I. **INTRODUCTION**

Kathleen Mullen ("Plaintiff" or "Ms. Mullen") brings this action against 1. Macy's, Inc. ("Macys") and Department Stores National Bank, a corporation ("DSNB"), (hereinafter collectively known as "Defendants") for violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227. The TCPA is a federal statute that broadly regulates the use of automated telephone equipment. Among other things, the TCPA prohibits certain unsolicited marketing calls, restricts the use of automatic dialers or prerecorded messages, and delegates rulemaking authority to the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC").

#### II. **JURISDICTION**

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises under 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq. and 28 U.S.C. 1331.
- 3. Venue and personal jurisdiction in this District are proper because Plaintiffs reside in this District, Defendants' collection communications were received

by Plaintiffs within this District and Defendants do or transact business within this District.

## III. PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Saint Louis, Saint Louis County, Missouri.
- 5. Defendant Macy's is a debt collector with its principal place of business located at 7 West Seventh Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202.
- 6. Defendant DSNB, is a corporation with its principal place of business located at 701 East 60th Street, Sioux Falls, SD 57104.
- 7. Upon information and belief, the telephone numbers (800) 511-3207; (513) 754-9837; (513) 573-7978; (513) 754-9863; (513) 573-8031; (513) 573-8717; (727) 556-5772; (727) 556-5492; (513) 754-9822; and (513) 573-8028 all belong to Defendant.
- 8. Within the last year, Defendant attempted to collect on consumer debt(s) from Plaintiff.
- 9. The debt(s) that Defendant is attempting to collect on is an alleged obligation of a consumer to pay money arising out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance or services which are the subject of the transaction are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes, whether or not such obligation has been reduced to judgment.
- 10. Defendant regularly collects, or attempts to collect, debts allegedly owed to third parties.
- 11. During the course of its attempts to collect debts, Defendant sends to alleged debtors bills, statements, and/or other correspondence, via the mail and/or electronic mail, and initiates contact with alleged debtors via various means of telecommunication, such as by telephone and facsimile.

Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members,

12.

Plaintiff.

9

10 11

12 13

15

14

17

16

18 19

20 21

23

24

22

25

27

28

26

directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers. IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS 13. Defendants are attempting to collect alleged consumer debts from

- 14. Between July 15, 2016 and August 22, 2016, Defendant caused Plaintiff's cellular telephone number (201) 393-4247 to ring repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
- Having received voluminous telephone calls in the recent past from 15. Defendant, on July 15, 2016 at 1:20 p.m. and again at 1:22 p.m., Ms. Mullen spoke with agents from Macy's at phone number (800) 511-3207. Ms. Mullen asked Macy's agents to stop calling her. During these calls, Ms. Mullen unequivocally revoked consent to be contacted on her cellular telephone.
- Despite these conversations, Macy's repeatedly continued to contact Ms. 16. Mullen by calling her cellular telephone.
- 17. On August 15, 2016 at 1:11 p.m., Ms. Mullen again received a telephone call from Macy's. Ms. Mullen answered this call, from telephone number (727) 556-5492, and again unequivocally revoked consent to be contacted on her cellular phone.
- 18. When Ms. Mullen answered the phone, she heard a pause before the agent began to speak, indicating use of an automatic telephone dialing system.
- 19. The telephone number (727) 556-5492 belongs to or was used by Macy's, and upon information and belief, the calls were made in an attempt to collect on an Account.
- 20. On August 22, 2016 at 8:11a.m., Ms. Mullen again received a telephone call from Macy's. Ms. Mullen answered this call, from telephone number (513) 573-8028, and unequivocally revoked consent to be contacted on her cellular telephone for a third time.

//

//

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

- 21. When Ms. Mullen answered the phone, she heard a pause before the agent began to speak, indicating use of an automatic telephone dialing system.
- 22. The telephone number (513) 573-8028 belongs to or was used by Macy's, and upon information and belief, the calls were made in an attempt to collect on an Account.
- 23. In the thirty-two (32) days after Ms. Mullen asked twice for Macy's to stop contacting her, Plaintiff Kathleen Mullen received approximately one-hundred eighty-four (184) additional calls from telephone numbers (800) 511-3207; (513) 754-9837; (513) 573-7978; (513) 754-9863; (513) 573-8031; (513) 573-8717; (727) 556-5772; (727) 556-5492; (513) 754-9822; and (513) 573-8028 from July 15, 2016 through August 22, 2016.
- 24. Upon information and belief, the telephone numbers (800) 511-3207; (513) 754-9837; (513) 573-7978; (513) 754-9863; (513) 573-8031; (513) 573-8717; (727) 556-5772; (727) 556-5492; (513) 754-9822; and (513) 573-8028 belong to Defendant.
- 25. The purpose of the repeated phone calls was an attempt to collect an alleged debt.
  - 26. Defendant is familiar with the TCPA.
- 27. Defendant's conduct as described above was intended to harass, coerce, and intimidate Plaintiff into payment of the alleged debt, or to increase the amount Plaintiff was willing to pay.
- 28. The approximately one-hundred eighty-four (184) phone calls placed from Defendant to Plaintiff's cellular phones caused Plaintiff extreme emotional distress and aggravation.

# V. <u>CAUSE OF ACTION</u>

### **COUNT I**

### (Violations of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227)

- 29. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 30. Defendant violated the TCPA. Defendant's violations include, but are not limited to the following:
  - (a) Within four years prior to the filing of this action, on multiple occasions, Defendant violated TCPA 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A)(iii) which states in pertinent part, "It shall be unlawful for any person within the United States . . . to make any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) using any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice to any telephone number assigned to a . . . cellular telephone service . . . or any service for which the called party is charged for the call.
  - (b) Within four years prior to the filing of this action, on multiple occasions

    Defendant willfully and/or knowingly contacted Plaintiffs at Plaintiffs' cellular
    telephones using an artificial prerecorded voice or an automatic telephone dialing
    system and as such, Defendant knowingly and/or willfully violated the TCPA.
- 31. Defendant's calls constitute calls that are not for emergency purposes as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).

20 21

19

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

32. Defendant's calls are placed to a telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).

- 33. Under the TCPA and pursuant to the FCCs January 2008 Declaratory Ruling, the burden is on the Defendant to demonstrate that the Plaintiff provided express consent within the meaning of the statute because it is the best entity to determine how numbers were attained.
- 34. Under the TCPA and pursuant to the FCCs January 2008 Declaratory Ruling, the burden is on the Defendant to demonstrate that the Plaintiff provided express consent within the meaning of the statute because it is the best entity to determine how numbers were attained.
- 35. As a result of Defendant's violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227, Plaintiffs is entitled to an award of five hundred dollars (\$500.00) in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B). If the Court finds that Defendant knowingly and/or willfully violated the TCPA, Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of one thousand five hundred dollars (\$1,500.00), for each and every violation pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

#### VI. **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Kathleen Mullen respectfully requests that judgment be entered against Defendant for the following:

1 2 3	227(b)(3)(C); an	es pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § ad iffs any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may
4	be allowed unde	r the law; and
5		
6	(c) For such other an	nd further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
7	VII.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
8	V 11.	DEMIAND FOR JUNI TRIAL
9	Please take notice that Plaintiffs demands a trial by jury in this action.	
10		
11	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITT	
12	RESILE II OLL I SODWII ILD,	
13	Dated: October 20, 2016	PRICE LAW GROUP, APC
14		By: /s/ Mark D. Molner
15		Monte D. Molnon, MO# 62190
16		Mark D. Molner, MO# 62189 111 W. 10 <sup>th</sup> Street
17		Kansas City, MO 64105
18		P: 866-775-3515 F: 866-502-3515
19		E: mark@pricelawgroup.com
20		Attorneys for Plaintiff Kathleen Mullen
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	-7-	

Case: 4:16-cv-01641-SNLJ Doc. #: 1 Filed: 10/20/16 Page: 7 of 7 PageID #: 7